IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

Cathy Yvonne Jones,	
Plaintiff,) Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-1650-TMC
v.	ORDER
Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting Commissioner of Social Security Administration,)))
Defendant.))

Plaintiff Cathy Yvonne Jones ("Jones") brought this action under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), seeking judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") denying her claim for Disability Insurance Benefits under the Social Security Act. This matter is before the court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("Report") of the United States Magistrate Judge, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civ. Rule 73.02 (D.S.C.). (ECF No. 14). The Report recommends that the Commissioner's decision be reversed and remanded pursuant to sentence four of § 405(g) for further proceedings consistent with the Report. *Id.* Plaintiff has not filed any objections to the Report, and on January 4, 2016, the Commissioner filed a notice of her intent not to file any objections to the Report. (ECF No. 16).

The Report has no presumptive weight and the responsibility to make a final determination in this matter remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). In the absence of objections, this court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the Report. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but

1:15-cv-01650-TMC Date Filed 01/07/16 Entry Number 20 Page 2 of 2

instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to

accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th

Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After a thorough and careful review of the record, the court adopts the Report of the

Magistrate Judge which is incorporated herein by reference. The Commissioner's final decision

is **REVERSED** and **REMANDED** pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for further

administrative review as set forth in the Report. (ECF No. 14).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Timothy M. Cain

United States District Judge

January 7, 2016

Anderson, South Carolina